

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,045	09/16/2003	Ronald L. Bruening	21058.NP	2593
20551	7590 06/13/2005	EXAMINER		INER
THORPE NORTH & WESTERN, LLP. 8180 SOUTH 700 EAST, SUITE 200			COVINGTON,	RAYMOND K
P.O. BOX 12	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANDY, UT 84070			1625	

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/664,045	BRUENING ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Raymond Covington	1625		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12/8/03</u> , <u>5/21/04</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	·			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicati	on Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/8/03, 5/21/04.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/664,045 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 3 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

(M

Claim 2 contains function language the claim. The claim recites a means or step for performing a specified function without the recital of structure, material, or acts in support thereof. It is not clear what applicants regard as the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC → 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1- 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bradshaw et al J. Org. Chem. Vol. 55 pp 3129-3132(1990) and/or Zhang et al Chem. Rev. v.97 pp 3313-3361(1997) in view of Cram US 4,001,279.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP >2141.01)

Bradshaw et al J. and Zhang et al teach macrocycle compositions of the type recited in the claims for use in enantiomeric separation in the same type manner as claimed. See, respectively, pages 3129 and 3130 and, page 3316, chart 1 and 3318 section B. Cram '279 teaches analogous macrocycle compositions on a solid support of the same type as recited in the claims. See column 2 lines 55-65, column 7 lines 1-10 and column 2 lines 50-60. See in particular column 30 lines 45+ and column 31 lines 30+ for the binaphthyl derivatives and column 25 lines 65 for the polymer support.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP >2141.02)

Bradshaw et al J. and Zhang et al differ in that they do not teach using a solid support as claimed. However, Cram '279 teaches analogous macrocycle compositions on a solid support of the same type as recited in the claims.

Finding of prima facie obviousness-rational and motivation (MPEP 32142-2413)

In view of the art as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bradshaw et al J. and Zhang et al to include the solid support of Cram in order to enhance separation efficiency.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Covington whose telephone number is (571) 272-0681. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 1625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, C. Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

K RKC Raymond Covington Examiner

Art Unit 1625

RDesar 6/7/05